

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8 \* \* \*

9 JOSE OCEGUEDO-GIL,

Case No. 2:18-cv-00756-APG-PAL

10 Petitioner,

ORDER

11 v.

12 STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,

13 Respondents.

14 On May 4, 2018, the court dismissed this habeas action without prejudice  
15 because petitioner failed to submit an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* or pay  
16 the filing fee (ECF No. 3). Judgment was entered (ECF No. 4).

17 On August 27, 2018, petitioner Oceguedo-Gil filed an application to proceed *in*  
18 *forma pauperis* and submitted a habeas petition in this case (ECF No. 8). However, this  
19 case is closed. As the court previously explained, if Oceguedo-Gil wishes to seek  
20 habeas relief, he must file his petition on the correct form in a new action with a new  
21 case number and include either the \$5.00 filing fee or a fully completed application to  
22 proceed *in forma pauperis*.

23 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that petitioner's application to proceed *in forma*  
24 *pauperis* (ECF No. 8) is **DENIED**.

25 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk **SHALL SEND** to petitioner one copy  
26 of the documents at ECF No. 8, including attachments.

27 DATED: 31 August 2018.

28   
ANDREW P. GORDON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE